



**UAA Center for Behavioral
Health Research & Services**
UNIVERSITY of ALASKA ANCHORAGE

Alaska Judicial Council

Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Technical Report

Maia Wen, B.A., Research Professional
Katelyn Saft, Undergraduate Research Assistant
Stacy Tanner, B.A., Graduate Research Assistant
Bridget Hanson, Ph.D., Research Associate Professor

April 10, 2018

Funded by Alaska Judicial Council

www.iser.uaa.alaska.edu/cbhrs/index.php

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Mean Ratings of Judges	2
Introduction	3
Methodology	3
Table 2: Respondent Characteristics	4
Instrumentation.....	5
Confidentiality and Data Safety	5
Results.....	6
<i>Summary Tables</i>	
Table 3: Level of Experience with Judges	7
Table 4: Summary of Overall Ratings	8
Table 5: Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating	9
Table 6: Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work.....	11
Table 7: Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer	13
Table 8: Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population.....	14
Table 9: Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work	15
Table 10: Mean Overall Ratings by Gender	16
<i>Individual Tables</i>	
Table 11: William B. Carey: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	17
Table 12: William B. Carey: Detailed Responses	18
Table 13: Kevin G. Miller: Demographic Description of Respondents	19
Table 14: Kevin G. Miller: Detailed Responses.....	20
Table 15: Kirsten Swanson: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	21
Table 16: Kirsten Swanson: Detailed Responses	22
Table 17: Angela M. Greene: Demographic Description of Respondents	23
Table 18: Angela M. Greene: Detailed Responses.....	24
Table 19: Steve W. Cole: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	25
Table 20: Steve W. Cole: Detailed Responses	26
Table 21: Michael D. Corey: Demographic Description of Respondents	27
Table 22: Michael D. Corey: Detailed Responses.....	28
Table 23: William F. Morse: Demographic Description of Respondents	29
Table 24: William F. Morse: Detailed Responses	30
Table 25: Herman G. Walker, Jr.: Demographic Description of Respondents	31
Table 26: Herman G. Walker, Jr.: Detailed Responses	32
Table 27: Michael L. Wolverton: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	33
Table 28: Michael L. Wolverton: Detailed Responses	34
Table 29: Jo-Ann Chung: Demographic Description of Respondents	35
Table 30: Jo-Ann Chung: Detailed Responses.....	36
Table 31: Brian K. Clark: Demographic Description of Respondents	37
Table 32: Brian K. Clark: Detailed Responses	38
Table 33: William L. Estelle: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	39
Table 34: William L. Estelle: Detailed Responses	40
Table 35: Sharon A. S. Illsley: Demographic Description of Respondents.....	41
Table 36: Sharon A. S. Illsley: Detailed Responses	42
Table 37: John W. Wolfe: Demographic Description of Respondents	43
Table 38: John W. Wolfe: Detailed Responses	44
Table 39: Paul R. Lyle: Demographic Description of Respondents	45
Table 40: Paul R. Lyle: Detailed Responses	46
Table 41: Michael P. McConahy: Demographic Description of Respondents	47
Table 42: Michael P. McConahy: Detailed Responses.....	48

Table 43: Benjamin A. Seekins: Demographic Description of Respondents 49

Table 44: Benjamin A. Seekins: Detailed Responses..... 50

Executive Summary

Alaska statutes require the Alaska Judicial Council to evaluate Alaska judges eligible to stand for retention election. This survey was conducted among Alaska peace and probation officers to obtain information about their direct professional and other relevant experience with the judges, and their assessments of judicial performance. This 2018 survey included 17 trial court judges eligible for retention.

The Alaska Judicial Council asked peace and probation officers to evaluate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness, Integrity, Judicial Temperament, Diligence, and Overall*. The rating scale ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5).

Table 1 shows the mean ratings for each judge by respondents with direct professional experience on all five characteristics. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Table 1
Mean Ratings of Judges

	<i>n</i>	Impartiality/ Fairness <i>M</i>	Integrity <i>M</i>	Judicial Temperament <i>M</i>	Diligence <i>M</i>	Overall <i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
William B. Carey	18	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7
<i>District Court</i>						
Kevin G. Miller	17	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Kirsten Swanson	14	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
<u>Second Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
Angela M. Greene	8	2.9	3.3	3.0	2.5	2.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
Steve W. Cole	28	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.4	3.4
Michael D. Corey	28	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.0
William F. Morse	42	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.4
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	10	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.6
Michael L. Wolverton	53	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9
<i>District Court</i>						
Jo-Ann Chung	47	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
Brian K. Clark	40	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
William L. Estelle	21	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
Sharon A. S. Illsley	28	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6
John W. Wolfe	26	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>						
<i>Superior Court</i>						
Paul R. Lyle	16	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
Michael P. McConahy	12	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.1
<i>District Court</i>						
Benjamin A. Seekins	19	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

2018 Judicial Retention Survey: Peace and Probation Officers

Introduction

Alaska statutes require that the Alaska Judicial Council (Council) evaluate judges standing for retention in an election year. The Council makes a recommendation to the State's voters to either retain or not retain each judge. As part of the information used to fulfill its mandate, the Council distributed surveys to Alaska peace and probation officers and asked them to rate judges on five characteristics: *Impartiality/Fairness*, *Integrity*, *Judicial Temperament*, *Diligence*, and *Overall*. Each survey also contained demographic questions about the respondents, including type of work, length of time as officer, community population, location of work, and gender.

To maintain objectivity, the Council contracted with the Center for Behavioral Health Research and Services (CBHRS), a research workgroup at the University of Alaska Anchorage. CBHRS was responsible for all aspects of distribution and data collection for the survey as well as data analysis. CBHRS prepared this report summarizing survey procedures and results.

The 2018 retention survey for peace and probation officers included 17 trial court judges eligible for retention.

Methodology

Alaska peace and probation officers, including state troopers, local law enforcement officers, airport police and fire officers, village public safety officers, and adult and juvenile probation officers, were invited via email to participate in an online survey.

Of the 1,537 officers invited via email to participate, 420 initiated an online survey for a return rate of 27.3%. Of the 420 returned surveys, 167 did not rate any judges; 253 (60.2%) respondents evaluated one or more judges. Table 2 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the respondents.

Table 2
Respondent Characteristics

		All Respondents		Respondents who Rated ≥ 1 Judge	
		<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents		420	100.0	253	100.0
Type of Work					
	No response	7	1.7	3	1.2
	State law enforcement officer (LEO)	163	38.8	99	39.1
	Municipal/Borough LEO	190	45.2	114	45.1
	Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	5	1.2	2	0.8
	Probation/parole officer	55	13.1	35	13.8
	Other	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Officer					
	No response	11	2.6	5	2.0
	5 years or fewer	93	22.1	39	15.4
	6 to 10 years	75	17.9	45	17.8
	11 to 15 years	92	21.9	61	24.1
	16 to 20 years	86	20.5	59	23.3
	More than 20 years	63	15.0	44	17.4
Community Population					
	No response	5	1.2	1	0.4
	Under 2,000	30	7.1	11	4.3
	Between 2,000 and 35,000	182	43.3	113	44.7
	Over 35,000	203	48.3	128	50.6
Location of Work					
	No response	4	1.0	1	0.4
	First District	51	12.1	38	15.0
	Second District	23	5.5	10	4.0
	Third District	288	68.6	177	70.0
	Fourth District	52	12.4	27	10.7
	Outside Alaska	2	0.5	-	-
Gender					
	No response	6	1.4	3	1.2
	Male	352	83.8	210	83.0
	Female	62	14.8	40	15.8

Instrumentation

The survey contained the names of the judges eligible for retention, questions about demographic information for each respondent, five evaluation items for each judge, and space for respondents to provide additional comments regarding each judge.

Respondents evaluated judges in five areas of performance. Detailed instructions for each domain were provided:

Impartiality/Fairness: Please evaluate the judge's sense of basic fairness and justice and whether the judge treats all parties equally.

Integrity: Please evaluate whether the judge's conduct is free from impropriety or appearance of impropriety and whether the judge makes decisions without regard to possible public criticism.

Judicial Temperament: Please evaluate the judge's courtesy and freedom from arrogance and whether the judge manifests human understanding and compassion.

Diligence: Please evaluate whether the judge is prepared for court proceedings, works diligently, and is reasonably prompt in making decisions.

Overall Evaluation: Please provide your overall assessment of the judge's performance.

Respondents assigned ratings for each domain using a five-point Likert scale that ranged from *Poor* (1) to *Excellent* (5). Detailed descriptions of the meaning of each point on the Likert scale were provided:

(1) <i>Poor</i>	(2) <i>Deficient</i>	(3) <i>Acceptable</i>	(4) <i>Good</i>	(5) <i>Excellent</i>
Seldom meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Does not always meet minimum standards of performance for this court	Meets minimum standards of performance for this court	Often exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court	Consistently exceeds minimum standards of performance for this court

Confidentiality and Data Safety

The survey introduction included a statement that reassured respondents of the confidentiality of their responses. Confidentiality is also a paramount concern at CBHRS and translated into specific procedures related to data security. Because data such as those collected through the judicial retention survey are of a sensitive nature, CBHRS has rigorous procedures to protect data. Organizational policies and procedures highlight the requirement for confidentiality and ensure that only staff involved with the project have access to the data. All data are maintained on a secure server.

Each potential respondent was provided with a unique URL that could only be used once and only accessed from the e-mail address to which it was sent. Online data were downloaded from the survey website and imported into SPSS for analysis.

Results

Two sets of results are presented in this section of the report. First, respondents' level of experience with each judge is shown. Then, a summary table presents the ratings and comparisons of the judges. Many of the cross tabulations yield results based on small numbers of respondents. Results based on small numbers of respondents should be regarded with caution and more weight given to the overall results.

In the tables, judges appear in order based on district. Within each district, superior court judges appear first and are followed by district court judges.

Respondents' Level of Experience with Each Judge

All respondents were asked to describe the basis of their evaluation for each judge they rated, with options of direct professional experience, professional reputation, and other personal contacts.

Table 3 shows the type of experience of respondents for each judge.

Ratings of Judges

In the tables that follow, responses to the rating questions are shown in a variety of ways. Most tables show the number of respondents (*n*) and the average rating (*M*). Tables 4-10 present details on the *Overall* item. Table 4 compares all ratings to those from respondents with direct professional experience and includes the median rating (*Mdn*) and the standard deviation (*SD*) in addition to number of respondents and average. Tables 5-10 present data only from those respondents who indicated direct professional experience. Table 5 provides the distribution of responses on the *Overall* item. Table 6 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' type of work. Table 7 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' length of time as an Alaskan officer. Table 8 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by the population of the community in which respondents work. Table 9 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' location of work. Table 10 provides judges' mean ratings broken down by respondents' gender.

For each individual judge, Tables 11-44 provide a demographics summary of respondents and detailed information on ratings provided by respondent characteristic.

Table 3
Level of Experience with Judges

	<i>n</i>	% of all respondents who rated judge	Percent of Respondents Basing Ratings on...		
			Direct Professional Experience	Professional Reputation	Other Personal Contacts
<u>First Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
William B. Carey	21	5.0	85.7	9.5	4.8
<i>District Court</i>					
Kevin G. Miller	21	5.0	81.0	14.3	4.8
Kirsten Swanson	17	4.0	82.4	11.8	5.9
<u>Second Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Angela M. Greene	10	2.4	80.0	20.0	-
<u>Third Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Steve W. Cole	29	6.9	96.6	3.4	-
Michael D. Corey	35	8.3	80.0	17.1	2.9
William F. Morse	52	12.4	80.8	11.5	7.7
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	15	3.6	66.7	33.3	-
Michael L. Wolverton	66	15.7	80.3	19.7	-
<i>District Court</i>					
Jo-Ann Chung	52	12.4	90.4	7.7	1.9
Brian K. Clark	47	11.2	85.1	10.6	4.3
William L. Estelle	28	6.7	75.0	17.9	7.1
Sharon A. S. Illsley	33	7.9	84.8	12.1	3.0
John W. Wolfe	29	6.9	89.7	10.3	-
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Paul R. Lyle	18	4.3	88.9	11.1	-
Michael P. McConahy	14	3.3	85.7	14.3	-
<i>District Court</i>					
Benjamin A. Seekins	20	4.8	95.0	5.0	-

Table 4
Summary of Overall Ratings

	All Respondents				Respondents with Direct Professional Experience			
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>Mdn</i>	<i>SD</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
William B. Carey	18	4.5	5.0	1.0	16	4.7	5.0	0.6
<i>District Court</i>								
Kevin G. Miller	21	4.7	5.0	0.7	17	4.8	5.0	0.4
Kirsten Swanson	17	4.4	5.0	0.8	14	4.5	4.5	0.5
<u>Second Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
Angela M. Greene	10	2.3	2.0	1.3	8	2.5	2.0	1.4
<u>Third Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
Steve W. Cole	27	3.4	4.0	1.2	26	3.4	4.0	1.2
Michael D. Corey	31	3.8	4.0	1.1	25	4.0	4.0	1.0
William F. Morse	47	3.4	4.0	1.2	40	3.4	4.0	1.2
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	13	3.6	4.0	1.1	8	3.6	4.0	1.2
Michael L. Wolverson	64	3.8	4.0	1.0	52	3.9	4.0	0.9
<i>District Court</i>								
Jo-Ann Chung	51	3.9	4.0	0.9	46	4.0	4.0	0.8
Brian K. Clark	46	4.4	5.0	0.8	39	4.5	5.0	0.7
William L. Estelle	27	3.6	4.0	1.1	21	3.9	4.0	1.0
Sharon A. S. Illsley	32	3.7	4.0	1.0	27	3.6	4.0	1.0
John W. Wolfe	29	3.8	4.0	1.0	26	4.0	4.0	1.0
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>								
<i>Superior Court</i>								
Paul R. Lyle	18	4.0	4.0	1.1	16	4.1	4.0	1.1
Michael P. McConahy	14	4.1	4.5	1.2	12	4.1	4.5	1.2
<i>District Court</i>								
Benjamin A. Seekins	19	4.2	4.0	0.9	18	4.1	4.0	0.9

Table 5
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

		Poor		Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
	<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<u>First Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
William B. Carey	16	-	-	-	-	1	6.3	3	18.8	12	75.0
<i>District Court</i>											
Kevin G. Miller	17	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	17.6	14	82.4
Kirsten Swanson	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	50.0	7	50.0
<u>Second Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Angela M. Greene	8	2	25.0	3	37.5	1	12.5	1	12.5	1	12.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Steve W. Cole	26	1	3.8	7	26.9	4	15.4	8	30.8	6	23.1
Michael D. Corey	25	-	-	3	12.0	4	16.0	9	36.0	9	36.0
William F. Morse	40	4	10.0	5	12.5	9	22.5	15	37.5	7	17.5
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	8	-	-	2	25.0	1	12.5	3	37.5	2	25.0
Michael L. Wolverton	52	-	-	3	5.8	16	30.8	18	34.6	15	28.8
<i>District Court</i>											
Jo-Ann Chung	46	-	-	3	6.5	8	17.4	23	50.0	12	26.1
Brian K. Clark	39	-	-	-	-	4	10.3	13	33.3	22	56.4
William L. Estelle	21	-	-	3	14.3	3	14.3	9	42.9	6	28.6
Sharon A. S. Illsley	27	-	-	4	14.8	8	29.6	9	33.3	6	22.2
John W. Wolfe	26	-	-	2	7.7	6	23.1	9	34.6	9	34.6

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 5 (cont.)
Distribution of Responses for Overall Rating

		Poor			Deficient		Acceptable		Good		Excellent	
		<i>n</i>	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%	<i>n</i>	%
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>												
<i>Superior Court</i>												
Paul R. Lyle		16	-	-	1	6.3	2	12.5	7	43.8	6	37.5
Michael P. McConahy		12	-	-	1	8.3	2	16.7	3	25.0	6	50.0
<i>District Court</i>												
Benjamin A. Seekins		18	-	-	1	5.6	3	16.7	7	38.9	7	38.9

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	State law enforcement officer		Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer		Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		Probation/Parole officer		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
William B. Carey	8	4.5	6	5.0	-	-	2	4.5	4.7
<i>District Court</i>									
Kevin G. Miller	10	5.0	6	4.5	-	-	1	5.0	4.8
Kirsten Swanson	6	4.3	8	4.6	-	-	-	-	4.5
<u>Second Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
Angela M. Greene	1	1.0	4	2.8	-	-	3	2.7	2.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
Steve W. Cole	14	3.2	9	3.7	-	-	3	3.7	3.4
Michael D. Corey	11	4.5	2	2.0	-	-	12	3.8	4.0
William F. Morse	14	3.7	17	3.8	-	-	9	2.2	3.4
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	4	3.5	1	5.0	-	-	3	3.3	3.6
Michael L. Wolverton	16	4.3	21	3.9	1	2.0	14	3.5	3.9
<i>District Court</i>									
Jo-Ann Chung	15	3.7	30	4.1	-	-	1	4.0	4.0
Brian K. Clark	15	4.5	23	4.4	-	-	1	5.0	4.5
William L. Estelle	17	3.9	3	3.3	-	-	1	5.0	3.9
Sharon A. S. Illsley	9	3.9	17	3.5	-	-	-	-	3.6
John W. Wolfe	20	4.2	3	4.3	1	2.0	1	3.0	4.0

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 6 (cont.)
Mean Overall Ratings by Type of Work

	State law enforcement officer		Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer		Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)		Probation/Parole officer		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
Paul R. Lyle	9	4.2	2	4.5	1	1.0	3	4.3	4.1
Michael P. McConahy	8	4.0	-	-	-	-	3	4.3	4.1
<i>District Court</i>									
Benjamin A. Seekins	10	4.0	5	4.0	-	-	2	5.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 7
Mean Overall Ratings by Length of Time as Alaskan Officer

	5 years or fewer		6 to 10 years		11 to 15 years		16 to 20 years		More than 20 years		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
William B. Carey	1	5.0	1	5.0	4	4.3	3	5.0	7	4.7	4.7
<i>District Court</i>											
Kevin G. Miller	2	5.0	1	5.0	4	5.0	3	5.0	7	4.6	4.8
Kirsten Swanson	2	4.0	1	5.0	2	5.0	7	4.4	2	4.5	4.5
<u>Second Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Angela M. Greene	2	3.0	1	2.0	2	2.0	1	5.0	2	1.5	2.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Steve W. Cole	1	4.0	3	3.7	4	3.3	12	3.2	5	3.6	3.4
Michael D. Corey	6	3.8	7	4.1	7	4.3	1	2.0	4	3.8	4.0
William F. Morse	5	2.4	9	3.2	10	3.3	5	4.2	10	3.6	3.4
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	1	3.0	4	3.0	2	5.0	-	-	1	4.0	3.6
Michael L. Wolverton	6	3.5	9	3.6	13	4.0	13	3.8	10	4.2	3.9
<i>District Court</i>											
Jo-Ann Chung	2	4.0	3	3.7	14	4.1	17	4.0	9	3.6	4.0
Brian K. Clark	2	4.5	2	4.5	12	4.5	14	4.4	9	4.6	4.5
William L. Estelle	2	4.5	4	2.8	8	4.3	4	3.5	3	4.3	3.9
Sharon A. S. Illsley	6	3.0	9	3.7	6	3.7	4	4.5	2	3.5	3.6
John W. Wolfe	2	4.0	4	3.5	9	4.1	6	3.8	4	4.8	4.0
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>											
<i>Superior Court</i>											
Paul R. Lyle	1	5.0	3	4.0	3	3.7	4	4.5	3	4.7	4.1
Michael P. McConahy	2	5.0	3	4.3	2	2.0	2	4.5	2	4.5	4.1
<i>District Court</i>											
Benjamin A. Seekins	2	5.0	5	3.6	5	3.8	4	4.8	1	4.0	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 8
Mean Overall Ratings by Community Population

	Under 2,000		Between 2,000 and 35,000		Over 35,000		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
William B. Carey	1	5.0	14	4.6	1	5.0	4.7
<i>District Court</i>							
Kevin G. Miller	1	5.0	15	4.8	1	5.0	4.8
Kirsten Swanson	2	4.0	11	4.5	1	5.0	4.5
<u>Second Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Angela M. Greene	2	4.0	5	2.0	1	2.0	2.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Steve W. Cole	3	3.3	17	3.6	6	2.8	3.4
Michael D. Corey	1	4.0	1	4.0	23	4.0	4.0
William F. Morse	-	-	4	4.5	36	3.3	3.4
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	-	-	1	2.0	7	3.9	3.6
Michael L. Wolverson	-	-	5	4.2	47	3.8	3.9
<i>District Court</i>							
Jo-Ann Chung	-	-	1	3.0	45	4.0	4.0
Brian K. Clark	-	-	2	4.5	37	4.5	4.5
William L. Estelle	-	-	8	4.1	13	3.7	3.9
Sharon A. S. Illsley	-	-	27	3.6	-	-	3.6
John W. Wolfe	-	-	11	4.2	14	3.9	4.0
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>							
<i>Superior Court</i>							
Paul R. Lyle	1	1.0	3	4.3	12	4.3	4.1
Michael P. McConahy	-	-	2	4.5	10	4.0	4.1
<i>District Court</i>							
Benjamin A. Seekins	-	-	7	3.9	11	4.3	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 9
Mean Overall Ratings by Location of Work

	First District		Second District		Third District		Fourth District		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
William B. Carey	15	4.7	-	-	1	5.0	-	-	4.7
<i>District Court</i>									
Kevin G. Miller	15	4.8	-	-	1	5.0	1	5.0	4.8
Kirsten Swanson	13	4.5	-	-	-	-	1	4.0	4.5
<u>Second Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
Angela M. Greene	-	-	7	2.6	-	-	1	2.0	2.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
Steve W. Cole	-	-	-	-	25	3.4	1	3.0	3.4
Michael D. Corey	1	5.0	-	-	24	3.9	-	-	4.0
William F. Morse	-	-	-	-	40	3.4	-	-	3.4
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	1	2.0	-	-	7	3.9	-	-	3.6
Michael L. Wolverson	1	3.0	1	2.0	50	3.9	-	-	3.9
<i>District Court</i>									
Jo-Ann Chung	1	4.0	-	-	45	4.0	-	-	4.0
Brian K. Clark	1	5.0	-	-	38	4.4	-	-	4.5
William L. Estelle	1	4.0	-	-	19	3.8	1	4.0	3.9
Sharon A. S. Illsley	-	-	-	-	27	3.6	-	-	3.6
John W. Wolfe	1	4.0	1	2.0	23	4.1	-	-	4.0
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>									
<i>Superior Court</i>									
Paul R. Lyle	-	-	-	-	2	4.0	14	4.1	4.1
Michael P. McConahy	-	-	-	-	1	4.0	11	4.1	4.1
<i>District Court</i>									
Benjamin A. Seekins	-	-	-	-	-	-	18	4.1	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 10
Mean Overall Ratings by Gender

	Male		Female		Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
<u>First Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
William B. Carey	13	4.7	3	4.7	4.7
<i>District Court</i>					
Kevin G. Miller	15	4.8	2	5.0	4.8
Kirsten Swanson	13	4.5	1	4.0	4.5
<u>Second Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Angela M. Greene	6	2.7	2	2.0	2.5
<u>Third Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Steve W. Cole	23	3.4	2	3.5	3.4
Michael D. Corey	18	3.9	7	4.0	4.0
William F. Morse	30	3.6	10	2.9	3.4
Herman G. Walker, Jr.	6	3.7	2	3.5	3.6
Michael L. Wolverson	38	3.8	14	4.0	3.9
<i>District Court</i>					
Jo-Ann Chung	37	3.9	9	4.2	4.0
Brian K. Clark	31	4.4	8	4.8	4.5
William L. Estelle	19	3.8	2	4.0	3.9
Sharon A. S. Illsley	27	3.6	-	-	3.6
John W. Wolfe	22	4.0	3	4.3	4.0
<u>Fourth Judicial District</u>					
<i>Superior Court</i>					
Paul R. Lyle	10	3.9	5	4.4	4.1
Michael P. McConahy	8	4.0	3	4.3	4.1
<i>District Court</i>					
Benjamin A. Seekins	14	4.0	3	4.7	4.1

Note: Ratings from only those respondents with direct professional experience with the judges.

Table 11
William B. Carey
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	21	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	18	85.7
Professional reputation	2	9.5
Other personal contacts	1	4.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	16	88.9
Substantial amount of experience	6	33.3
Moderate amount of experience	6	33.3
Limited amount of experience	6	33.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	10	47.6
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	38.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	14.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	9.5
6 to 10 years	1	4.8
11 to 15 years	5	23.8
16 to 20 years	4	19.0
More than 20 years	9	42.9
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	4.8
Between 2,000 and 35,000	17	81.0
Over 35,000	3	14.3
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	19	90.5
Second District	-	-
Third District	2	9.5
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	17	81.0
Female	4	19.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 12
William B. Carey
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	21	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	18	4.6	4.8	4.9	4.6	4.7
Experience within last 5 years	16	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	2	3.0	3.0	5.0	3.0	3.0
Substantial amount of experience	6	4.6	4.8	5.0	4.4	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	6	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Limited amount of experience	6	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	2	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	4.5	4.6	4.8	4.3	4.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	5	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.3
16 to 20 years	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	8	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.6	4.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.5	4.6
Over 35,000	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Work*						
First District	17	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.5	4.7
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	15	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.7
Female	3	4.3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 13
Kevin G. Miller
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	21	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	17	81.0
Professional reputation	3	14.3
Other personal contacts	1	4.8
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	15	88.2
Substantial amount of experience	5	29.4
Moderate amount of experience	5	29.4
Limited amount of experience	7	41.2
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	13	61.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	33.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.8
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	9.5
6 to 10 years	2	9.5
11 to 15 years	5	23.8
16 to 20 years	4	19.0
More than 20 years	8	38.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	4.8
Between 2,000 and 35,000	18	85.7
Over 35,000	2	9.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	17	81.0
Second District	-	-
Third District	3	14.3
Fourth District	1	4.8
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	18	85.7
Female	3	14.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 14
Kevin G. Miller
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	21	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	17	4.8	4.9	4.8	4.8	4.8
Experience within last 5 years	15	4.7	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.8
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.8	4.8	4.6	4.8	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	5	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8
Limited amount of experience	7	4.7	5.0	4.9	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.7	5.0
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	10	4.9	4.8	4.9	4.9	5.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	6	4.5	5.0	4.8	4.5	4.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	4	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.8	5.0
16 to 20 years	3	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
More than 20 years	7	4.6	5.0	4.9	4.6	4.6
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	15	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Over 35,000	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Location of Work*						
First District	15	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.8
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Fourth District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Gender*						
Male	15	4.7	4.9	4.9	4.7	4.8
Female	2	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	5.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 15
Kirsten Swanson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	17	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	14	82.4
Professional reputation	2	11.8
Other personal contacts	1	5.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	14	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	28.6
Moderate amount of experience	6	42.9
Limited amount of experience	4	28.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	7	41.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	9	52.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.9
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	11.8
6 to 10 years	3	17.6
11 to 15 years	2	11.8
16 to 20 years	8	47.1
More than 20 years	2	11.8
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	17.6
Between 2,000 and 35,000	13	76.5
Over 35,000	1	5.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	16	94.1
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	1	5.9
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	15	88.2
Female	2	11.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 16
Kirsten Swanson
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	17	4.2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	14	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	14	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.6	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8
Moderate amount of experience	6	4.2	4.8	4.5	4.8	4.7
Limited amount of experience	4	4.0	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0
Professional reputation	2	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	5.0
Other personal contacts	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	6	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.5	4.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	8	4.4	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0
6 to 10 years	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
11 to 15 years	2	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	7	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.6	4.4
More than 20 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.5
Over 35,000	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Location of Work*						
First District	13	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.7	4.5
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Gender*						
Male	13	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.6	4.5
Female	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 17
Angela M. Greene
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	10	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	8	80.0
Professional reputation	2	20.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	8	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	12.5
Moderate amount of experience	2	25.0
Limited amount of experience	5	62.5
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	2	20.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	50.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	30.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	2	20.0
6 to 10 years	1	10.0
11 to 15 years	3	30.0
16 to 20 years	2	20.0
More than 20 years	2	20.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	30.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	50.0
Over 35,000	2	20.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	8	80.0
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	2	20.0
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	8	80.0
Female	2	20.0

Table 18
Angela M. Greene
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	10	2.6	3.0	3.0	2.5	2.3
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	8	2.9	3.3	3.0	2.5	2.5
Experience within last 5 years	8	2.9	3.3	3.0	2.5	2.5
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	1.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	3.5	4.5	4.5	2.5	3.5
Limited amount of experience	5	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.6	2.4
Professional reputation	2	1.5	2.0	3.0	2.5	1.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	1	1.0	3.0	3.0	1.0	1.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	3.3	3.7	3.0	2.7	2.7
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.0	3.0	2.5	3.5	3.0
6 to 10 years	1	2.0	5.0	4.0	1.0	2.0
11 to 15 years	2	2.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
16 to 20 years	1	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
More than 20 years	2	2.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	2	4.0	3.5	4.0	3.5	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.4	2.0
Over 35,000	1	2.0	5.0	4.0	1.0	2.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	7	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.6
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	1	2.0	5.0	4.0	1.0	2.0
Gender*						
Male	6	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.7
Female	2	3.0	4.0	3.0	1.5	2.0

Table 19
Steve W. Cole
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	29	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	28	96.6
Professional reputation	1	3.4
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	27	96.4
Substantial amount of experience	4	14.3
Moderate amount of experience	13	46.4
Limited amount of experience	11	39.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	16	55.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	34.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	10.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	3.4
5 years or fewer	3	10.3
6 to 10 years	3	10.3
11 to 15 years	4	13.8
16 to 20 years	12	41.4
More than 20 years	6	20.7
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	3	10.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	19	65.5
Over 35,000	7	24.1
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	28	96.6
Fourth District	1	3.4
Gender		
No response	1	3.4
Male	26	89.7
Female	2	6.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 20
Steve W. Cole
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	29	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.4	3.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	28	3.5	3.5	3.9	3.4	3.4
Experience within last 5 years	27	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.4	3.4
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	4	2.8	2.5	3.3	1.8	2.5
Moderate amount of experience	13	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.6
Limited amount of experience	11	3.4	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.6
Professional reputation	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	15	3.3	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	10	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.4	3.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	3.7	3.3	4.3	3.3	3.7
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	3	3.0	4.0	3.5	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	3	3.7	4.0	4.3	3.7	3.7
11 to 15 years	4	3.5	3.0	3.8	2.8	3.3
16 to 20 years	12	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.2
More than 20 years	5	3.6	3.4	4.6	4.2	3.6
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	3	3.3	3.7	3.7	3.0	3.3
Between 2,000 and 35,000	19	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.4	3.6
Over 35,000	6	2.8	2.8	3.8	3.5	2.8
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	27	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.5	3.4
Fourth District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.0
Gender*						
Male	25	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.4	3.4
Female	2	3.5	3.0	4.5	3.0	3.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 21
Michael D. Corey
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	35	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	28	80.0
Professional reputation	6	17.1
Other personal contacts	1	2.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	26	92.9
Substantial amount of experience	7	25.0
Moderate amount of experience	16	57.1
Limited amount of experience	4	14.3
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	12	34.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	7	20.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	2.9
Probation/Parole officer	15	42.9
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	6	17.1
6 to 10 years	12	34.3
11 to 15 years	8	22.9
16 to 20 years	4	11.4
More than 20 years	5	14.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	2.9
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	14.3
Over 35,000	29	82.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	2.9
Second District	2	5.7
Third District	32	91.4
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	26	74.3
Female	9	25.7

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 22
Michael D. Corey
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	35	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	28	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	26	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.0	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.5	4.2
Moderate amount of experience	16	3.8	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.8
Limited amount of experience	4	4.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5
Professional reputation	6	3.0	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.6
Other personal contacts	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	11	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	3.0	2.5	4.0	2.0	2.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	14	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.1	3.8
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.5	3.8	4.3	4.0	3.8
6 to 10 years	9	4.0	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.1
11 to 15 years	7	4.4	4.3	4.6	4.1	4.3
16 to 20 years	2	4.0	3.0	4.0	2.0	2.0
More than 20 years	4	4.0	4.0	4.7	4.3	3.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Over 35,000	25	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.0	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	1	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0
Second District	1	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	26	4.0	4.2	4.4	4.0	3.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	21	4.0	4.1	4.3	3.9	3.9
Female	7	4.0	4.1	4.6	4.3	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 23
William F. Morse
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	52	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	42	80.8
Professional reputation	6	11.5
Other personal contacts	4	7.7
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	37	88.1
Substantial amount of experience	9	21.4
Moderate amount of experience	17	40.5
Limited amount of experience	16	38.1
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	16	30.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	22	42.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	1.9
Probation/Parole officer	13	25.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	1.9
5 years or fewer	7	13.5
6 to 10 years	13	25.0
11 to 15 years	13	25.0
16 to 20 years	6	11.5
More than 20 years	12	23.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	13.5
Over 35,000	45	86.5
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.9
Second District	1	1.9
Third District	50	96.2
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	39	75.0
Female	13	25.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 24
William F. Morse
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	52	3.5	3.6	3.2	3.5	3.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	42	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.4
Experience within last 5 years	37	3.4	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.2
Experience not within last 5 years	3	5.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	9	3.3	3.4	2.6	4.0	3.1
Moderate amount of experience	17	3.6	3.6	3.2	3.5	3.4
Limited amount of experience	16	3.6	4.0	3.7	3.5	3.5
Professional reputation	6	2.8	3.2	2.6	2.8	3.3
Other personal contacts	4	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.7	3.7
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	14	3.9	4.1	3.4	3.9	3.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	18	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	10	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.8	2.2
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	5	3.0	3.0	2.0	3.2	2.4
6 to 10 years	11	3.3	3.3	2.9	3.7	3.2
11 to 15 years	10	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.6	3.3
16 to 20 years	5	4.0	4.4	4.0	4.0	4.2
More than 20 years	10	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.5	3.6
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Over 35,000	38	3.4	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	42	3.5	3.7	3.3	3.6	3.4
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	31	3.7	3.9	3.4	3.8	3.6
Female	11	3.1	3.1	2.8	3.0	2.9

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 25
Herman G. Walker, Jr.
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	15	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	10	66.7
Professional reputation	5	33.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	9	90.0
Substantial amount of experience	2	20.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	20.0
Limited amount of experience	6	60.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	5	33.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	4	26.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	6	40.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	3	20.0
6 to 10 years	5	33.3
11 to 15 years	5	33.3
16 to 20 years	1	6.7
More than 20 years	1	6.7
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	13.3
Over 35,000	13	86.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	6.7
Second District	-	-
Third District	14	93.3
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	10	66.7
Female	5	33.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 26
Herman G. Walker, Jr.
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	15	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	10	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.6
Experience within last 5 years	9	3.3	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Moderate amount of experience	2	2.5	3.0	3.5	3.0	2.5
Limited amount of experience	6	3.4	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8
Professional reputation	5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	5	3.3	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	3.3	3.7	4.0	3.7	3.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	3.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	3.0
6 to 10 years	5	2.8	3.3	3.5	3.0	3.0
11 to 15 years	3	4.5	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
16 to 20 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
More than 20 years	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Over 35,000	9	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9
Location of Work*						
First District	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	2.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	9	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	8	3.3	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.7
Female	2	3.5	3.5	4.0	4.0	3.5

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 27
Michael L. Wolverson
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	66	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	53	80.3
Professional reputation	13	19.7
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	48	90.6
Substantial amount of experience	19	35.8
Moderate amount of experience	20	37.7
Limited amount of experience	14	26.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	18	27.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	29	43.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	1.5
Probation/Parole officer	18	27.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	1.5
5 years or fewer	9	13.6
6 to 10 years	10	15.2
11 to 15 years	17	25.8
16 to 20 years	16	24.2
More than 20 years	13	19.7
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	10.6
Over 35,000	59	89.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.5
Second District	1	1.5
Third District	64	97.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	48	72.7
Female	18	27.3

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 28
Michael L. Wolverson
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	66	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	53	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	48	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	4	3.8	4.3	3.8	3.8	3.5
Substantial amount of experience	19	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.6	4.3
Moderate amount of experience	20	3.6	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.6
Limited amount of experience	14	3.7	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8
Professional reputation	13	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.6
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	16	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	22	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.9
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	2.0	-	2.0	2.0	2.0
Probation/Parole officer	14	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.5
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	6	3.3	3.3	3.8	4.0	3.5
6 to 10 years	10	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.7	3.6
11 to 15 years	13	4.1	4.4	4.1	4.3	4.0
16 to 20 years	13	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8
More than 20 years	10	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.2
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	4.2	5.0	4.2	4.2	4.2
Over 35,000	48	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.8
Location of Work*						
First District	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Second District	1	2.0	-	2.0	2.0	2.0
Third District	51	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	39	3.8	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.8
Female	14	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 29
Jo-Ann Chung
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	52	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	47	90.4
Professional reputation	4	7.7
Other personal contacts	1	1.9
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	40	85.1
Substantial amount of experience	6	12.8
Moderate amount of experience	21	44.7
Limited amount of experience	19	40.4
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	15	28.8
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	35	67.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	3.8
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	1.9
5 years or fewer	2	3.8
6 to 10 years	4	7.7
11 to 15 years	15	28.8
16 to 20 years	18	34.6
More than 20 years	12	23.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	3.8
Over 35,000	50	96.2
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	1.9
Second District	-	-
Third District	51	98.1
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	41	78.8
Female	11	21.2

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 30
Jo-Ann Chung
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	52	3.9	4.1	4.0	4.0	3.9
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	47	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	40	3.9	4.1	4.1	4.1	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	3	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	6	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.4
Moderate amount of experience	21	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0
Limited amount of experience	19	3.9	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1
Professional reputation	4	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.8
Other personal contacts	1	3.0	4.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	15	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.7
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	31	4.1	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	4.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0
6 to 10 years	4	3.7	4.0	3.3	4.3	3.7
11 to 15 years	14	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	17	3.8	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0
More than 20 years	9	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.6
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Over 35,000	46	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	46	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	38	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9
Female	9	4.0	4.6	4.4	4.1	4.2

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 31
Brian K. Clark
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	47	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	40	85.1
Professional reputation	5	10.6
Other personal contacts	2	4.3
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	34	85.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	17.5
Moderate amount of experience	21	52.5
Limited amount of experience	12	30.0
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	16	34.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	28	59.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	6.4
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	4	8.5
6 to 10 years	3	6.4
11 to 15 years	13	27.7
16 to 20 years	17	36.2
More than 20 years	10	21.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	6.4
Over 35,000	44	93.6
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	2	4.3
Second District	-	-
Third District	45	95.7
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	36	76.6
Female	11	23.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 32
Brian K. Clark
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	47	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	40	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Experience within last 5 years	34	4.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.5
Experience not within last 5 years	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Substantial amount of experience	7	4.5	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	21	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Limited amount of experience	12	4.3	4.5	4.6	4.3	4.5
Professional reputation	5	3.6	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.6
Other personal contacts	2	4.5	4.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	15	4.3	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	24	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.4
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
6 to 10 years	3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
11 to 15 years	12	4.3	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.5
16 to 20 years	14	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4
More than 20 years	9	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.4	4.6
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Over 35,000	38	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.5
Location of Work*						
First District	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.0	5.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	39	4.4	4.5	4.5	4.4	4.4
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	32	4.3	4.4	4.5	4.3	4.4
Female	8	4.6	4.9	4.9	4.6	4.8

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 33
William L. Estelle
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	28	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	21	75.0
Professional reputation	5	17.9
Other personal contacts	2	7.1
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	19	90.5
Substantial amount of experience	5	23.8
Moderate amount of experience	10	47.6
Limited amount of experience	6	28.6
Type of Work		
No response	-	-
State law enforcement officer	21	75.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	10.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	4	14.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	5	17.9
6 to 10 years	5	17.9
11 to 15 years	10	35.7
16 to 20 years	4	14.3
More than 20 years	4	14.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	9	32.1
Over 35,000	19	67.9
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	1	3.6
Second District	-	-
Third District	26	92.9
Fourth District	1	3.6
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	23	82.1
Female	5	17.9

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 34
William L. Estelle
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	28	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.6
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	21	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.9
Experience within last 5 years	19	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
Experience not within last 5 years	2	4.0	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0
Substantial amount of experience	5	4.4	4.4	4.2	3.8	4.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6
Limited amount of experience	6	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.2
Professional reputation	5	3.0	3.0	3.3	2.7	2.8
Other personal contacts	2	2.0	2.0	2.5	2.5	2.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	17	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.8	3.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	3.7	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.5
6 to 10 years	4	3.3	3.3	2.8	2.8	2.8
11 to 15 years	8	4.0	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.3
16 to 20 years	4	3.8	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5
More than 20 years	3	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.0	4.3
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	8	4.3	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.1
Over 35,000	13	3.8	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.7
Location of Work*						
First District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	19	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8
Fourth District	1	3.0	5.0	4.0	3.0	4.0
Gender*						
Male	19	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8
Female	2	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	4.0

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 35
Sharon A. S. Illsley
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	%
All respondents	33	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	28	84.8
Professional reputation	4	12.1
Other personal contacts	1	3.0
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	28	100.0
Substantial amount of experience	8	28.6
Moderate amount of experience	13	46.4
Limited amount of experience	7	25.0
Type of Work		
No response	1	3.0
State law enforcement officer	12	36.4
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	19	57.6
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	-	-
5 years or fewer	9	27.3
6 to 10 years	10	30.3
11 to 15 years	7	21.2
16 to 20 years	4	12.1
More than 20 years	3	9.1
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	32	97.0
Over 35,000	1	3.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	33	100.0
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	-	-
Male	31	93.9
Female	2	6.1

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 36
Sharon A. S. Illsley
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	33	3.6	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.7
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	28	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6
Experience within last 5 years	28	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	8	3.8	4.1	3.8	3.9	3.9
Moderate amount of experience	13	3.5	4.0	4.1	3.7	3.7
Limited amount of experience	7	3.3	3.3	4.1	3.7	3.3
Professional reputation	4	4.3	4.5	4.3	4.3	4.3
Other personal contacts	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	10	3.6	3.9	4.4	3.9	3.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	17	3.5	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	7	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.4	3.0
6 to 10 years	9	3.6	3.8	4.2	3.8	3.7
11 to 15 years	6	3.5	3.8	3.5	3.5	3.7
16 to 20 years	4	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	2	3.5	3.5	4.5	4.0	3.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	28	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6
Over 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	28	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	28	3.5	3.9	4.0	3.8	3.6
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 37
John W. Wolfe
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	29	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	26	89.7
Professional reputation	3	10.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	22	84.6
Substantial amount of experience	4	15.4
Moderate amount of experience	11	42.3
Limited amount of experience	11	42.3
Type of Work		
No response	1	3.4
State law enforcement officer	22	75.9
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	10.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.4
Probation/Parole officer	2	6.9
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	3.4
5 years or fewer	3	10.3
6 to 10 years	4	13.8
11 to 15 years	10	34.5
16 to 20 years	6	20.7
More than 20 years	5	17.2
Community Population		
No response	1	3.4
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	12	41.4
Over 35,000	16	55.2
Location of Work		
No response	1	3.4
First District	1	3.4
Second District	1	3.4
Third District	26	89.7
Fourth District	-	-
Gender		
No response	1	3.4
Male	24	82.8
Female	4	13.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 38
John W. Wolfe
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	29	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	26	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0
Experience within last 5 years	22	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9
Experience not within last 5 years	4	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.3	4.5
Substantial amount of experience	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.5
Moderate amount of experience	11	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.8
Limited amount of experience	11	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.9
Professional reputation	3	2.3	2.3	3.0	3.0	2.7
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	20	4.2	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.3
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Probation/Parole officer	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	3.5	4.0	3.5	3.5	4.0
6 to 10 years	4	3.5	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.5
11 to 15 years	9	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1
16 to 20 years	6	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.8
More than 20 years	4	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.5	4.8
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	11	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.2
Over 35,000	14	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.8	3.9
Location of Work*						
First District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Second District	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
Third District	23	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1
Fourth District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gender*						
Male	22	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0
Female	3	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.0	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 39
Paul R. Lyle
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	18	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	16	88.9
Professional reputation	2	11.1
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	15	93.8
Substantial amount of experience	1	6.3
Moderate amount of experience	10	62.5
Limited amount of experience	5	31.3
Type of Work		
No response	1	5.6
State law enforcement officer	9	50.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	3	16.7
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	2	11.1
Probation/Parole officer	3	16.7
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	2	11.1
5 years or fewer	2	11.1
6 to 10 years	4	22.2
11 to 15 years	3	16.7
16 to 20 years	4	22.2
More than 20 years	3	16.7
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	5.6
Between 2,000 and 35,000	5	27.8
Over 35,000	12	66.7
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	1	5.6
Third District	2	11.1
Fourth District	15	83.3
Gender		
No response	1	5.6
Male	12	66.7
Female	5	27.8

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 40
Paul R. Lyle
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	18	4.1	4.2	4.0	4.0	4.0
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	16	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	15	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Substantial amount of experience	1	3.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
Moderate amount of experience	10	4.2	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.2
Limited amount of experience	5	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.4
Professional reputation	2	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	9	4.2	4.4	4.2	4.0	4.2
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	3.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
Probation/Parole officer	3	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.3	4.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	3	4.0	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.0
11 to 15 years	3	3.7	3.7	3.3	3.3	3.7
16 to 20 years	4	4.5	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.5
More than 20 years	3	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.7	4.7
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	1	3.0	1.0	1.0	3.0	1.0
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.0	4.3
Over 35,000	12	4.3	4.4	4.2	4.2	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	2	4.0	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	14	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Gender*						
Male	10	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9
Female	5	4.4	4.8	4.4	4.2	4.4

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 41
Michael P. McConahy
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	14	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	12	85.7
Professional reputation	2	14.3
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	11	91.7
Substantial amount of experience	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	9	75.0
Limited amount of experience	3	25.0
Type of Work		
No response	1	7.1
State law enforcement officer	8	57.1
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	1	7.1
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	1	7.1
Probation/Parole officer	3	21.4
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	2	14.3
5 years or fewer	3	21.4
6 to 10 years	3	21.4
11 to 15 years	2	14.3
16 to 20 years	2	14.3
More than 20 years	2	14.3
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	1	7.1
Between 2,000 and 35,000	3	21.4
Over 35,000	10	71.4
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	1	7.1
Fourth District	13	92.9
Gender		
No response	1	7.1
Male	10	71.4
Female	3	21.4

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 42
Michael P. McConahy
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	14	4.2	4.4	4.1	4.4	4.1
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	12	4.2	4.3	4.0	4.3	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	11	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	-	-	-	-	-	-
Moderate amount of experience	9	4.0	4.2	3.8	4.2	3.9
Limited amount of experience	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7
Professional reputation	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	8	4.1	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	-	-	-	-	-	-
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3
11 to 15 years	2	2.5	3.5	1.5	3.5	2.0
16 to 20 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
More than 20 years	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	2	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5
Over 35,000	10	4.1	4.3	3.9	4.3	4.0
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	1	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
Fourth District	11	4.2	4.4	4.0	4.4	4.1
Gender*						
Male	8	4.1	4.4	3.9	4.4	4.0
Female	3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3	4.3

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 43
Benjamin A. Seekins
Demographic Description of Respondents

	<i>n</i>	<i>%</i>
All respondents	20	100.0
Experience with Judge		
Direct professional experience	19	95.0
Professional reputation	1	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-
Detailed Experience*		
Recent experience (within last 5 years)	18	94.7
Substantial amount of experience	1	5.3
Moderate amount of experience	8	42.1
Limited amount of experience	10	52.6
Type of Work		
No response	1	5.0
State law enforcement officer	12	60.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	25.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	10.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer		
No response	1	5.0
5 years or fewer	2	10.0
6 to 10 years	6	30.0
11 to 15 years	5	25.0
16 to 20 years	5	25.0
More than 20 years	1	5.0
Community Population		
No response	-	-
Under 2,000	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	35.0
Over 35,000	13	65.0
Location of Work		
No response	-	-
First District	-	-
Second District	-	-
Third District	-	-
Fourth District	20	100.0
Gender		
No response	1	5.0
Male	16	80.0
Female	3	15.0

*Only among those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.

Table 44
Benjamin A. Seekins
Detailed Responses

		Impartiality/ Fairness	Integrity	Judicial Temperament	Diligence	Overall
	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>M</i>
All respondents	20	4.2	4.3	4.2	4.1	4.2
Basis for Evaluation						
Direct professional experience	19	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Experience within last 5 years	18	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Experience not within last 5 years	-	-	-	-	-	-
Substantial amount of experience	1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
Moderate amount of experience	8	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.1
Limited amount of experience	10	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.1	4.2
Professional reputation	1	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Other personal contacts	-	-	-	-	-	-
Type of Work*						
State law enforcement officer	11	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0
Municipal/Borough law enforcement officer	5	4.4	4.6	4.0	4.0	4.0
Village Public Safety Officer (VPSO)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Probation/Parole officer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
Length of Time as Alaskan Officer*						
5 years or fewer	2	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
6 to 10 years	6	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.6
11 to 15 years	5	3.8	3.6	4.0	3.6	3.8
16 to 20 years	4	4.5	4.8	4.5	4.5	4.8
More than 20 years	1	5.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	4.0
Community Population*						
Under 2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Between 2,000 and 35,000	7	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.9
Over 35,000	12	4.4	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.3
Location of Work*						
First District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Second District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Third District	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fourth District	19	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1
Gender*						
Male	15	4.1	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0
Female	3	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7	4.7

*Ratings from only those respondents reporting direct professional experience with the judge.